

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the rejection of claim 10 is respectfully requested. It calls for using different filters for different wireless mediums. The rejection of claim 10 attempts to read the broadly construed term "correspond," which is only used in claim 1, into claim 10. This is inappropriate since claim 10 does not include the word "correspond." It is more explicit that there must be a filter chain to process a first wireless medium and a second filter chain to process a second wireless medium.

There is no filter chain to process any wireless medium in the cited reference. Moreover, the cited reference does not teach using different filter chains to handle different wireless media.

Therefore, reconsideration of the rejection of claim 10 would be appropriate.

With respect to the reliance on a single reference Section 103 rejection, it is respectfully submitted that if the reference does not teach what is there, supplementation of the missing elements is impossible. Here we have a reference that has nothing to do with wireless media and does not teach using a filter chain to handle even one wireless media, much less different filter chains to handle different wireless media. Moreover, the reference does not even teach using different filter chains for different functions. Instead, it uses one filter chain, according to the office action, for all Windows functions.

Whether or not the reference teaches that information delivery media may be embodied as part of a communication media, it does not teach using filters or filter drivers to process any wireless medium. Moreover, it does not teach using different filter chains to handle different wireless mediums. Finally, it does not teach using the same filter manager to build both chains.

Therefore, reconsideration is respectfully requested.


On the same basis, reconsideration of the rejection of claim 18 and its dependent claims is respectfully requested.

Likewise, reconsideration of the rejection of claim 28, dependent on claim 27, is respectfully requested.

In view of these remarks, reconsideration would be appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 25, 2007



Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750
Houston, TX 77057-2631
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation